

## Wick theorem

**Motivation for the Wick theorem.** The Wick theorem is an essential tool for performing calculations in any field theory. It allows us to represent the expectation value of a product of many operators (that is hard to calculate) as a sum of terms that involve only products of two operators (that are less hard to calculate). Below you will prove the Wick theorem.

**Exercise 1: Proof of the Wick theorem (4 points):** Let us start with the formal expression of the Wick decomposition:

$$\langle AB \dots YZ \rangle = \overline{AB} \dots \overline{YZ} + \overline{AB} \dots \overline{YZ} + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where the sum on the right-hand side runs over all complete contractions, i.e., over all the contractions that have every operator contracted. The definition of the contraction is

$$\overline{AB} = \langle AB \rangle. \quad (2)$$

To summarize, the Wick decomposition is an expression of the many-operator average as a sum of the products of two-operator averages, or in the language of Green functions, an expansion of many-particle Green function into a sum of products of single-particle Green functions.

The **Wick theorem** states that any theory described by a density matrix of the form  $\rho = e^{\mathcal{A}}$ , with  $\mathcal{A}$  a 1-particle operator admits a Wick decomposition. Such an  $\mathcal{A}$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_a A_a a_a^\dagger a_a, \quad (3)$$

where  $a_a^\dagger, a_a$  are either boson or fermion operators (depending on the underlying theory).

- (a) Show that the equation of motion for each operator  $\rho = \rho(t_0)$ ,  $A = A(t_1)$ ,  $B = B(t_2)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $Y = Y(t_{n-1})$ ,  $Z = Z(t_n)$  is identical on the left- and on the right-hand side of (1).

Due to this it is sufficient to prove (1) for the case when all the operators have the same time argument  $t_0$ :  $\rho = \rho(t_0)$ ,  $A = A(t_0)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $Y = Y(t_0)$ ,  $Z = Z(t_0)$ . This allows us to use the (anti)commutation relations between the operators, because these are *only valid between operators with equal time arguments* (never forget that!!!).

- (b) Working in the occupation number basis

$$|\{n_a\}\rangle = |n_1, n_2, \dots\rangle = \left( \prod_a \frac{(a_a^\dagger)^{n_a}}{\sqrt{n_a!}} \right) |0\rangle, \quad (4)$$

show that we can write

$$\rho = \sum_{\{n_a\}} |\{n_a\}\rangle e^{\sum_a A_a n_a} \langle \{n_a\} |, \quad (5)$$

with  $n_a = a_a^\dagger a_a$ .

- (c) Let  $\alpha_a$  denote either a creation or an annihilation operator,  $\alpha_a \in \{a_a^\dagger, a_a\}$ . Show that  $\alpha_a \rho = \zeta_a \rho \alpha_a$ , where  $\zeta_a = e^{A_a}$  if  $\alpha_a = a_a$ , and  $\zeta_a = e^{-A_a}$  if  $\alpha_a = a_a^\dagger$ .

- (d) Prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\rho \alpha_a \alpha_b \dots \alpha_y \alpha_z) &= \frac{[\alpha_a, \alpha_b]_{\pm}}{1 \pm \zeta_a} \text{Tr}(\rho \alpha_c \alpha_d \dots \alpha_y \alpha_z) \\ &\mp \frac{[\alpha_a, \alpha_c]_{\pm}}{1 \pm \zeta_a} \text{Tr}(\rho \alpha_b \alpha_d \dots \alpha_y \alpha_z) + \dots \\ &+ \frac{[\alpha_a, \alpha_z]_{\pm}}{1 \pm \zeta_a} \text{Tr}(\rho \alpha_b \alpha_c \dots \alpha_y), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the + sign in the last line of (7) corresponds to an even number of  $\alpha$  operators in the trace. *Hint*: commute the  $\alpha_a$  operator to the right end of the expression under the trace, then use the cyclic property of the trace to move  $\alpha_a$  back to the left end of the expression, and apply (c).

(e) Show that

$$\overline{\alpha_a \alpha_b} = \text{Tr}(\rho \alpha_a \alpha_b) = \frac{[\alpha_a, \alpha_b]_{\pm}}{1 \pm \zeta_a}. \quad (8)$$

(f) Use (d) and (e) to prove that the Wick decomposition holds in a theory described by the density matrix  $\rho = e^A$ , assuming that  $A = \alpha_a, B = \alpha_b, \dots, Z = \alpha_z$ .

**Exercise 2 (4 points)** Further inquiries.

- (a) Assume now that we have exotic quasi-particles that mix fermion and boson properties such that  $\{a_a, a_b^\dagger\} = e^{i\phi} \delta_{ab}$ ,  $\{a_a, a_b\} = 0$  for some given phase  $\phi$  (such collective modes can be found, for instance, in fractional quantum Hall systems). Does a Wick theorem still hold? What would be the modification?
- (b) What about a Wick theorem for spin operators? The latter do not obey regular commutation or anticommutation rules, but obey the algebra  $[S_a^\alpha, S_b^\beta] = i\hbar \sum_\gamma \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} S_a^\gamma \delta_{ab}$ , with  $a, b$  labeling, say, different lattice sites,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{x, y, z\}$ , and  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  the Levi-Civita symbol (the fully antisymmetric tensor).
- (c) What happens if we have a system described by  $H = H_0^f + H_0^b$ , with  $H_0^f$  quadratic in fermion operators  $f_a$  and  $H_0^b$  quadratic in boson operators  $b_a$ ? Use the example of  $\langle f_a^\dagger b_b f_c b_d^\dagger \rangle$  for your argument.
- (d) Beware the following trap: Assume that  $A = a_a^\dagger a_b, B = a_c^\dagger, C = a_d$ , and  $D = a_e^\dagger a_f$ . We then have  $\overline{AB} = \overline{AC} = \overline{BD} = \overline{CD} = 0$  because particle numbers are not conserved in the averages. Therefore the application of the Wick theorem reduces to  $\langle ABCD \rangle = \overline{ABCD}$ . Show that this is *wrong* and explain in 2 words (or so...) what needs to be done to avoid such errors. Compare with Exercise 1, (f).

**Exercise 3 (2 points)** Application of the Wick theorem.

(a) Consider the time ordered (real time or contour time) 2-particle Green's function

$$\chi^c(r) = -i \langle T \{ \psi^\dagger(r) \psi(r) \psi^\dagger(0) \psi(0) \} \rangle_0, \quad (9)$$

where  $r = (\mathbf{x}, t)$ , the  $\psi(r)$  are fermion field operators, and the time evolution is determined by a Hamiltonian  $H_0$  that is quadratic in  $\psi$  and  $\psi^\dagger$  (such that the Wick theorem can be used).

Show that  $\chi^c$  decomposes into

$$i\chi^c(r) = \bar{\rho}(r)\bar{\rho}(0) + G^c(r)G^c(-r), \quad (10)$$

with  $\bar{\rho}(r) = \langle \psi^\dagger(r) \psi(r) \rangle = -iG^c(x=0, t=0^+)$  and  $G^c(r)$  as defined in the lectures.

(b) Consider now the retarded 2-particle Green's function

$$\chi^r(r) = -i\theta(t) \langle [\psi^\dagger(r) \psi(r), \psi^\dagger(0) \psi(0)] \rangle_0, \quad (11)$$

and show that

$$i\chi^r(r) = G^r(r)G^<(-r) + G^<(r)G^a(-r), \quad (12)$$

with again the Green's functions as defined in the lectures.

Note that this is a very different result as in Eq. (10): The bare averages  $\bar{\rho}$  cancel out with the commutator, while the product  $G^c G^c$  is replaced by the sum  $G^r G^< + G^< G^a$ . This example shows that splitting a many-particle Green's function into single-particle Green's functions works best for time-ordered functions, while retarded, advanced, ... Green's functions always involve more terms and mix the various types of Green's functions.